

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/612,207	<u>-</u>	07/01/2003	Edward Cannoy Kash	1261.03	5679		
29637	7590	01/13/2005	EXAMINER				
BUSKO	P LAW G	ROUP, P.C.	BOMAR, THOMAS S				
	JAMES P	LACE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SUITE 50		7056	L	TATER NOWBER			
HOOSIC)N, TX 7	7030	·	3672			
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		X			
		10/612,20	7	KASH, EDWARD	CANNOY	1 1			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		-	1		
	·	Shane Bor	nar	3672		•			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicate r Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. ays, a reply within the statu bry period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 09 October 2003	3.						
• —	•			•					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims				•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□									
Applicati	on Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 October 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to b	l3 is/are: a) ☐ acce on to the drawing(s) be e correction is requir	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d)) .			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT ser No(s)/Mail Date 4/28/04.		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail C 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because Figures 9A, 10, and 11 have two separate drawings with one figure number; each drawing should have its own figure number. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with errors in describing the figures of the

Art Unit: 3672

invention. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some of the description errors in the specification are: there is no description of Figure 12; there is a description for Figures 9A-9D, although only Figures 9, 9A, and 9B can be found in the drawings; there are Figures 10A-10F in the drawings, but only Figure 10 is mentioned in the Brief Description and there is no mention of 10A-10F elsewhere in the description; the description of Figure 7 in paragraph [00052] doesn't appear to match the figure; Figure 2A is not in the Brief Description; etc.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: step a ends with a period although it should end with a semicolon; furthermore, the recitation of "said outer layer is wire" is redundant since the outer layer is already described as being "at least one outer wire layer". Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,207

Art Unit: 3672

Page 4

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3, 7, 9, and 11 of copending Application No. 10/370,142. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1 and 4 of the instant application simply claim a method of using a perforating gun that has similar limitations to the perforating gun of the copending application. The copending application teaches a perforating gun with a gun wall for retaining a loading tube wherein the wall contains at least two metal layers with at least one hole through the layers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a first layer slidable, non fixedly, and removeably disposed over the loading tube and at least one outer layer in fixed engagement over the first layer forming a laminate with a first end and a second end; and wherein said outer layer is a solid structure (or is an outer wire layer) with scallop openings disposed therein and said scallops are positioned in the solid structure in a defined pattern would be encompassed by the limitation of at least two metal layers with at least one hole through the layers, as taught by the copending application (see also the Figures of the copending application). It also would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the gun would have a longitudinal axis parallel to the sides of the wellbore (see Figs. 1-5 of the copending application).

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,207

Art Unit: 3672

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Johnson et al and Brooks et al teach other perforating guns of interest.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shane Bomar whose telephone number is 703-305-4849. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00am to 4:30pm. The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3672

tsb

January 7, 2005